TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

1. Project Number (Assigned by federal unit):_

2. Project Name: Weaver Creek Culvert Replacement	3. County: Douglas
4. Project Sponsor: BLM (Ed Richardson)	5. Date:
6. Sponsor's Phone Number: (541) 440-4930	
7. Sponsors E-mail:	
8. Project Location (attach project area map) Section 33, T. 28 S., R.	3 W., W.M.
a. 4 th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known):	·
b. 5 th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known):	
`	ion(s) 33 (See map for more details)
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(-)
Description: Culvert Replacement	
•	source Area South River
8	rvice District
h. State / Private / Other lands involved? □ Yes ⊠ No	
II. State / Private / Other rands involved? 11 Yes 12 No	
 9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives: Replace a failing culvert for protection of the road users and passage through the structure. 10. Project Description: (Provide concise description of project and attach n The existing culvert, which is failing, would be replaced with 	nap.) na culvert which will pass the 100-
year flood, provide a safe road for heavy logging-type equipmed provide fish passage to two (2) miles of habitat for both native crossing blocks the upward migration of all resident fish and anadromous fish species. There is a 4-1/2 foot water drop at the species of the same provided in the same provid	re and anadromous fish. This an estimated 100 percent of
anauromous rish species. There is a 4-1/2 foot water drop at t	ine pipe outiet.
11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s)	on adjacent lands?
\Box Yes \Box No If yes, then describe	on aujacent lanus:
11 yes, then describe	
12 How does managed project most numbers of the Legislat	ion9 [G., 2024]/[N]
12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislat	1011 [Sec. 203(b)(1)]
☐ Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]	
☐ Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosys	tems. [Sec. 2(b)]
☐ Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]	
☐ Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]	

TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

☑ Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	☐ Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
☐ Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	☐ Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
☐ Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec.	2(b)(2)(A)]
☐ Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]	☐ Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]
☐ Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]	☐ Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]
☑ Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]	☐ Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]
☐ Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]	
☐ Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:	
14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expedit	cted Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]
a. Total Acres:	b. Total Miles: 2 miles of habitat re-opened
c. No. Structures: One	d. Est. People Reached
	(for environmental education projects):

15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date: [Sec. 203(b)(2)]

One summer / September 15, 2002

13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

16. Target Species Benefitted: (if applicable)

Coastal coho salmon, steelhead, native trout, cutthroat trout

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved?

[Sec. 2(b)(3)]

e. No. Laborer Days:f. Other (specify):

N/A

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities.

The public has access to a large block of federal land for recreation. This culvert is part of the road system that the public will use.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

See previous description. Water quality, fish habitat, safe access would be provided as a result of this project.

TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

20. Status of Project Planning		
a. NEPA Complete:	\boxtimes Yes \square N	O
If no, give est. date of completion:		
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	□ Yes □ N	0
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	⊠ Yes □ N	0
e. Survey & Manage Complete:	⊠ Yes □ N	o □ Not Applicable
f. DSL/ODFW* Permits for In-stream Work Obtained:	□ Yes 🖾 N	No □ Not Applicable
g. DSL/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:	□ Yes 🖾 N	No □ Not Applicable
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:	□ Yes 🖾 N	No □ Not Applicable
i. Project Design(s) Completed:	\square Yes \boxtimes N	No
* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wild Historic Preservation Officer	life, COE = Army (Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State
21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment		
⊠ Contract	□ Federal W	
☐ County Workforce	☐ Federal W	
⊠ Contract		
☐ County Workforce	□ Volunteers	3
 ☐ County Workforce ☐ Other (specify): 22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials ☐ Yes ☐ No 23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)] 	□ Volunteers	3
 ☐ County Workforce ☐ Other (specify): 22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials ☐ Yes ☐ No 	□ Volunteers	3
 ☐ County Workforce ☐ Other (specify): 22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials ☐ Yes ☐ No 23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)] a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$110,000 b. Is this a multi-year funding request? 	□ Volunteers ? [Sec. 204(e)(3)	[o If yes, then display by fiscal year
 ☐ County Workforce ☐ Other (specify): 22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials ☐ Yes ☐ No 23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)] a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$110,000 b. Is this a multi-year funding request? c. FY02 Request: \$110,000 	☐ Volunteers ? [Sec. 204(e)(3) ☐ Yes ☒ N f. FY05 Req	[o If yes, then display by fiscal year uest:
 ☐ County Workforce ☐ Other (specify): 22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials ☐ Yes ☐ No 23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)] a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$110,000 b. Is this a multi-year funding request? 	□ Volunteers ? [Sec. 204(e)(3)	[o If yes, then display by fiscal year uest:

TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Table 1. Project Cost Analysis

Item	Column A Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Column B Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Column C Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Column D Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys				
25. NEPA & Sec. 7 ESA Consultation				
26. Permit Acquisition		\$600.00		
27. Project Design & Engineering		\$1,900.00		
28. Contract Preparation		\$3,000.00		
29. Contract Administration		\$6,200.00		
30. Contract Cost		\$110,000.00		
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring		\$1,500.00		
34. Other				
35. Project Sub-Total		\$122,600.00		
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multi-year projects)				
37. Total Cost Estimate		\$123,200.00		

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding for Project Identified Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

None

39. Monitoring Plan [Sec. 203(b)(6)]

a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

The structure will be monitored during and after construction to ensure that it is constructed to minimize the amount of sediment, maintain fish passage, and potentially pass the 100-year flood.

TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

The COR will report the number of person days used by the contractor.

c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from federal lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

N/A

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, item 33):

Monitoring would be provided by federal agency.

TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

County Commissioner Concurrence

(Majority required per charter)

A majority of the county commissioners of Douglas County have reviewed this proposed Public Law 106-393 project for the Roseburg BLM Resource Advisory Council and agree with the proposal as submitted, except for the comments noted below:

Atteste	ed by Commissioner		Date
Priority Rat	ing:		
☐ High	☐ Medium	□ Low	